## For the Northern District of California

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	UNIRAM TECHNOLOGY, INC., No. C 04-1268 VRW (MEJ)
9	Plaintiff(s),  ORDER RE TSMC'S
10	vs. INTERROGATORY NO. 19
11	MONOLITHIC SYSTEM TECHNOLOGY, INC, et al.,
12	Defendant(s).
13	
14	
15	The Court is in receipt of the parties' joint discovery dispute letter, dated September 19,
16	2006. (Doc. #242.) In the letter, TSMC requests an order compelling UniRAM to respond to
17	TSMC's Interrogatory No. 19, which requires UniRAM to describe in detail how it believes TSMC
18	or MoSys misappropriated its alleged trade secrets. While this information is clearly relevant, Judge
19	Walker ordered UniRAM to pare down its list of trade secrets from 212 to 12 by October 20, 2006.
20	(Doc. #198.) Accordingly, the Court finds TSMC's request premature. If a dispute remains after
21	October 20, the parties shall again meet and confer on this issue and thereafter file a joint letter if
22	unable to resolve their dispute.
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	
25	Dated: September 28, 2006  MARIA E NA JAMES
26	United Stres Magistrate Judge
27	